



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_7268
Title of the Manuscript:	Review of the use of SWAP and FDT for the early detection of visual field loss
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a review of few studies in the two tables that to do judgment, it needed a large meta-Analysis	This review was intended as a narrative review. It was not possible to undertake a large meta-analysis of the various papers for SWAP or FDT. As highlighted in our two tables, the included studies either did not provide abnormality criteria or used different abnormality criteria. This therefore prevented a detailed comparison of their results in a format such as meta-analysis.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The new approach take a lot of time to stabilised or become disapproved. It could be logical to emphasis the practical test are preferred till accurate test approved	We have included a statement in the conclusions that standard automated perimetry remains preferred for clinical assessment until such time that evidence shows SWAP and/or FDT techniques to be superior in respect to accuracy and reliability in the detection of early visual field loss in glaucoma.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Four technologies including of- frequency doubling technology perimetry (FDT), short wavelength automated perimetry (SWAP), high-pass resolution perimetry (HPRP), and motion automated perimetry (MAP) - are ideally and in developing ,so these approach targeting specific cell types, which may be able to earlier detection of subtle deficits.	We have added a statement to the introduction which outlines some of the various methods which can be used to evaluate the visual field.